

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/089,006	05/22/2002	Timothy William Grinsted		4595	
75	90 02/03/2004		EXAMINER		
Edwin D Schindler			LEE, JONG SUK		
Five Hirsch Ave PO Box 966	enue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Coram, NY 11727-0966			3673		
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/089,006	GRINSTED, TIM	OTHY WILLIAM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<i>y</i>	Jong-Suk (James) Lee						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum o ill apply and will expire SIX (6) I cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 De	ecember 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 32-35 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 and 27-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 25 and 26 is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.		,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/orApplication Papers	election requirement.						
	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the company of the company of the first sentence of the company of the company of the first sentence of the company of the company of the first sentence of the company of the company of the company of the com	s have been received. It is have been received in the first occuments have been received in the certified copies of the certified copies of the sentence of the spectation of the priority under 35 U.S. It is sentence of the spectation of the priority under 35 U.S.	n Application No een received in this National not receivedC. § 119(e) (to a provisional cification or in an Application s been receivedC. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intende	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	o(s).				
Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 10/089,006

Art Unit: 3673

S

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on December 4, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 18-24 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grinstead'793 (US 4,802,793) in view of Reece'793 (US 4,329,793).

Grinsted'793 discloses a pipeline or cable plow comprising of: a plough body (10, 12), a tow rope attachment mechanism having a tow rope retention point (42) for the tow rope (43), means (37, 46, 50) for adjusting a position of the tow rope retention point relative to the plough body for altering the position at which a line of the tow rope retained by the tow rope retention point crosses a longitudinal axis of the plough body for allowing the plough to operate at a range of offset tow positions, a plough share (20) for penetrating a seabed as the plough share is pulled by the tow rope, wherein the tow rope attachment mechanism comprising of a bridle having two bridle limbs terminating at one end of at the tow rope retention point (42) and the other ends at the respective bridle limb retention points with the guides/holes at a pair of arms (44)

therethrough and the pair of arms (44) being pivotable relative to the plough body about a vertical axis at its inboard end and providing a respective bridle rope attachment point at its outboard end to be extending laterally with respect to the plough (see Fig. 2) and/or longitudinally with respect to the plough for lifting position (see Figs. 6-7), the tow rope attachment mechanism further comprising means (37) for moving the bridle limb retention points relative to the plough body from respective towing positions to respective lifting positions, at least one steerable supporting skid (32) carried by a steering member (33, 34) controllable via the means for adjusting a position of the tow rope retention point relative to the plough body, means (53, 57) for adjusting ploughing depth by altering vertical distance between the supporting skids and the steering member and the steering member being able to be altered independently of the vertical distance between the supporting skid and the steering member (see Figs. 1-8; col.2, lines 2-68; col.3, lines 1-68; col.4, lines 1-53; col.5, lines 14-66).

However, Grinsted'793 fails to disclose or fairly suggest soil-engaging fins carried by the supporting skids. Reece'793 discloses a trenching plow including a pair of supporting skids (31) carrying the steerable soil engaging fins/blades (34) as depicted in Fig. 3 (see col.3, lines 54-68; col.4, lines 1-8).

Therefore, in view of Reece'793, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the fins to the supporting skids in order to enhance the stability of the plough while being in use.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claim 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. The arguments with respect to amended claims 32 and 34 are persuasive and therefore, the art rejections are withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 32-35 would be allowable over the prior art of record.
- 7. Claims 25 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/089,006

Art-Unit: 3673

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jong-Suk (James) Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6777. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM Monday thru Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford, can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

J. Lee /jjl January 31, 2004

> Jong-Suk (James) Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673